

Gravitational Waves from Bubble Collisions

Thomas Konstandin IFAE, Barcelona

in collaboration with S. Huber 0709.2091, JCAP 0805:017,2008 0806.1828, JCAP 08??:??,2008

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Outline	Introduction	Specific models	GWs from bubbles collisions	Conclusions
Outline				











Why are gravitational waves interesting? - Experiment

With LIGO a ground based experiment is operational that can observe black hole merger.

With LISA a satellite experiment is under way that might be sensitive enough to measure not only GWs from localized sources (*e.g.* binaries) but also the stochastic GW background (*e.g.* inflation).



Why are gravitational waves interesting? - Particle physics

If a GW background is produced by a strong first-order electroweak phase transition, some information about the Higgs sector can be inferred:



Bubble nucleation

A first-order phase transition proceeds by bubble nucleation



During the first-order phase transition, the latent heat is transformed into

- Reheating of the plasma
- Kinetic energy of the Higgs walls
- Bulk motion
- Turbulent motion at the end of the phase transition

The last three contributions can source gravitational wave radiation.



In the production of GWs by bubbles collisions, basically only three relevant parameters enter

- The temperature of the phase transition *T*.
- The parameter β that parametrizes the nucleation probability of bubbles and hence the duration of the phase transition and the typical bubble radii.
- The latent heat normalized to the radiation energy $\alpha = \Lambda / \rho_{radiation}$.

Typical parameters are $\alpha \leq 1$, $\beta \approx 100$ H, $T \approx 100$ GeV, but depend on the specific model under consideration. In the SM no first-order phase transition occurs (cross over).



Different sources of stochastic GWs are: Inflation, binaries or a strong first-order phase transition

GROJEAN, SERVANT ('06)



Outline	Introduction	Specific models	GWs from bubbles collisions	Conclusions
Outline				





3 GWs from bubbles collisions

4 Conclusions



Barriers in the free energy

A first-order phase transition proceeds by tunneling.

The barrier can be produced by

- V(<h>) at T ~ 100 GeV ϕ^2 ? ϕ^4 <h>>
- Thermal effects of light bosons $\sim T\phi^3$ (e.g. MSSM with light stops) ANDERSON, HALL ('91)
- Extended Higgs sectors (e.g. NMSSM) HUBER, SCHMIDT ('01)
- Higher dimensional ϕ^6 operators GROJEAN, SERVANT, WELLS ('05)
- Logarithmic contributions from scalars strongly coupled to the Higgs ESPINOZA, QUIRÓS ('07)

A strong electroweak phase transition requires beyond the SM physics at the TeV scale and favors a light Higgs.



Consider the toy model with the following Higgs potential

$$\mathcal{W}(\phi)=m^2\,\phi^2+\lambda\phi^4+rac{1}{M^2}\phi^6,$$

where *M* is a strong coupling regime (technicolor?), and the Higgs mass is fixed as $m_H = 120 \text{ GeV} (\langle \phi \rangle \simeq 256 \text{ GeV}).$



HUBER, KONSTANDIN ('07)



In the ϕ^6 model, stronger phase transitions (*larger* α) proceed at *lower* T and with *smaller* β , shifting to lower frequencies.

In the shaded region, the sensitivity of LISA and BBO drops significantly.

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)



HUBER, KONSTANDIN ('07)



The nMSSM is a MSSM extension with additional singlet and the superpotential

$$\mathcal{L} \ni \lambda H_1 H_2 N - tN$$

The singlet field N obtains a vev, thus producing a strong phase transition and solving the μ -problem.

Stronger phase transitions (larger α) proceed at lower T and with smaller β , shifting to lower frequencies.

Lessons learnt from model studies

Analyzing explicit models one concludes that

- Very strong phase transition ($\alpha \approx 1$) are in some models possible but require tuning on the percent level.
- Stronger phase transitions proceed with larger bubbles and at lower temperatures, thus shifting the peak frequency beyond the best sensitivity of LISA (mHz)
- The peak frequency is decisive for observational prospects of GWs
- This gives the high frequency behavior of the GW spectrum special importance, which is so far poorly understood.

Outline	Introduction	Specific models	GWs from bubbles collisions	Conclusions
Outline				





3 GWs from bubbles collisions

4 Conclusions

- ▲ ロ ▶ ▲ 屈 ▶ ▲ 屈 ▶ ▲ 屈 → りへぐ



Calculating the spectrum for two bubbles, one obtains:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 ○○○

However, the phase transition has to ended by a cut-off what might influence the high frequency behavior.



KOSOWSKY, TURNER, WATKINS ('91)

The envelope approximation assumes that the energy is concentrated in a thin shell of the uncollided bubbles. Numerical simulations of the Higgs field show that this yields very accurate results.



This makes the simulation of phase transitions with a large number of bubbles possible.



KAMIONKOWSI, KOSOWSKY, TURNER ('93)

Multi-bubble simulations have been so far rather inaccurate (especially for large frequencies and wall velocities) and the high frequency behavior is usually inferred from the two bubble case



Also in this case, the result indicates that the high frequency tail might fall off more slowly



For our simulation we chose the following parameters

- Spherical volume with radius $7v_b/\beta$. This is motivated by the typical phase transition duration $\tau \approx 4/\beta$ and leads to ~ 140 bubbles
- Determine the spectrum two orders beyond the peak
- Ensure numerical accuracy on the percent level

With this setup the calculation takes around one week on three desktop PCs.



HUBER, KONSTANDIN ('08)

Simulations with higher statistics show that the spectrum indeed decreases as ω^{-1} for high frequencies.



The error bars arise from averaging over eight different simulations.

▲日 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ― 臣 …

HUBER, KONSTANDIN ('08)

This might be essential for the detection of GWs in many models, as e.g. the nMSSM $% \left({{{\rm{S}}}_{{\rm{S}}}} \right)$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三回 めん⊙

Outline	Introduction	Specific models	GWs from bubbles collisions	Conclusions
Outline				



2 Specific models

3 GWs from bubbles collisions







In contrast to earlier work, we find that the GW spectrum falls of as ω^{-1} for high frequencies.

To observe GWs from the electroweak phase transition with LISA is rather improbable.

BBO might observe GWs from a phase transition in case the phase transition is very strong. This way, the hopes for a smoking gun from inflation could be jeopardized.

GW observations from a first-order phase transitions allow to probe the underlying particle physics model. This is not necessarily the electroweak phase transition.