LEP II constraints on brane models with bulk leptons

UniverseNet The second network school and meeting Oxford, UK 22 to 26 September 2008

Charalampos Bogdanos, University of Ioannina

In collaboration with E. Accomando (INFN - Turin) and I. Antoniadis (CERN) Marie Curie UniverseNet - 035863 Extra Dimensions and Constraints from Electroweak Physics

Two popular scenarios

All fermions on the brane, only gauge bosons and/or Higgs in the bulk

Pomarol & Quiros, 1998, Nath & Yamaguchi, 1999, Masip & Pomarol, 1999



Appelquist, Cheng & Dobrescu, 2000

Electroweak Constraints

- Brane fermions scenario: Strict constraints on the size of the extra dimension
- For 1 extra dimension:
 - 0
- Gauge bosons in the bulk: M_c~4.5 TeV (EWPT), M_c~6.3 TeV (LEP-II) at 95% CL
- 0
- Gauge bosons + Higgs in the bulk: $M_c \sim 3.8$ TeV (EWPT), $M_c \sim 6.3$ TeV (LEP-II) at 95% CL

Barbieri, Pomarol, Rattazzi & Strumia, 2004

- Universal extra dimensions: Less severe constraints
 - **6** For 1 extra dimension, M_c~300-600 GeV (Heavier Higgs alows for lower values)

Gogoladge & Macesanu, 2004

Electroweak Constraints Bulk Gauge Boson Theories

Source Corrections from KK modes appear in tree level processes \Rightarrow severe constraints on M_c



UED

Solution \mathbf{W} KK number conservation only allows processes with pairs of KK particles \Rightarrow less constrained



Alternative Scenarios

- 600 GeV 6.3 TeV is rather larger domain. The two models lie on the two ends of the spectrum.
- 600 GeV is at the limits of Tevatron. 6.3 TeV is even beyond LHC territory.

Macesanu, McMullen & Nandi, 2002

• Are there possible models in the middle ground?

Bulk Lepton Model

- Well motivated from string theory.
- UED not a natural choice in the context of strings weak and strong interaction branes extend in different directions inside the compactified space.
- Weak/strong coupling ratio can be retrieved if we assume an additional dimension for the weak brane.
 Lepton and Higgs sector propagate in this extra dimension.

Possible Electroweak Constraints

- No tree level KK processes present (same as UED) ⇒
 Automatically less constrained compared to the bulk gauge boson models.
- Oblique corrections? Can we get constraints from there?
- Answer: Probably not good. In the case of UED, the strongest bound comes from

 $T \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{3m_t^2}{8\pi^2 v^2} \frac{2}{3} \frac{m_t^2}{M_c^2}$

for a top quark with KK modes. But now the top has no KK states, only leptons of very small mass do. We expect the correction to be negligible.

Possible Electroweak Constraints

- Only quark vertices can break KK number conservation. KK vector bosons can be emitted in this case.
- We are interested in diagram containing a quark bubble with a KK vector boson propagator attached to it.



Relevant Processes

Easiest to examine: Fermi constant

In this case, it receives KK corrections only from 2-loop quark diagrams



Estimated bound from Fermi Constant: M_c≥320 GeV

Relevant Processes

Best constraints from processes with only one intermediate quark loop.

Suitable electroweak observables:

 $\sqrt{\sigma_h}$ $\sqrt{R_b}$ $\sqrt{R_c}$

✓ Forward-Backward Asymmetry
Sit Using LEP-II data (up to 207 GeV)

Total Hadronic Cross-Section

- We need to define form factors for the diagram involving 1-loop corrections and KK vector boson propagators
- 2 ways to do this:
 - > Define effective ee vertices (suitable for calculating corrections to Fermi Constant)
 - > Define effective qq vertices (suitable for total hadronic cross-section)

Relevant Diagrams



Form Factor Diagrams







Total Hadronic Cross-Section

- Results depend logarithmically on the cutoff A of the theory
- Solution For LEP-II data, $M_c \sim 750 1100 \text{ GeV}$

depending on Λ (assume 2 - 10 TeV)

R_b and R_c seem at this point unable to provide a better constraint

Further Developments

- Include contributions from quark vertex corrections
- Check forward-backward asymmetry
- Find and compare similar constraints from LEP-I
- Verify that oblique corrections from KK leptons are negligible
- Solution Include the mass of the Higgs as a parameter of the fit